



Research Article

# Designing a Conceptual Model for analyzing the relation between Strategic Knowledge Management and Talent Management Strategy in Profitability of the Southern Khorasan Electric Distribution Company (SKEDC)

Mohammad Reza Daraei<sup>1</sup> and Taghi Vahidi<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1,2\*</sup> Payame Noor University, Tehran, I.R. of Iran

In the current competitive world of business, setting the organizational goals may be performed considering strategy, knowledge, and talents together with directing these qualities in order to attain the main objective of the firm and make an attempt toward sustainable development. Among the important managerial factors which are necessary for sustainable development of an organization, the concepts of Knowledge Management or Strategic Knowledge Management and Talent Management Strategy seem more critical in achieving the organizational goals. Since Profitability is a major goal of most global firms, we have analyzed some of the key variables which probably have positive impacts on profitability. Therefore, in this study, the components relating to the variables of Strategic Knowledge Management, Talent Management Strategy, and Profitability have been extracted after reviewing different literatures, and subsequently a conceptual model has been provided. For further researches, we also intend to define some hypotheses and prepare the related questionnaires for the aforesaid variables, and subsequently examine the hypotheses at the Southern Khorasan Electric Distribution Company (SKEDC).

**Key words:** Strategic knowledge management, talent management strategy, profitability, conceptual model

## INTRODUCTION

The current business world is entirely different from the past and unlike the slow pace of variations in the early stage of human life, nowadays, humans experience new and different changes in their life and work not only every year but also every month or even every week. In the past centuries, physical assets such as lands, farms, buildings, factories, etc. were considered as the most important properties, but nowadays, intangible assets like knowledge and talent are assumed as the competitive advantage criteria and physical assets are not as crucial as before. Alvin Toffler (1980) addresses three waves of civilization in human history: the agricultural phase as the first wave, the industrial phase as the second wave and the super-industrial society as the third wave. He also names some terms for the third wave as "Space Age", "Information Age", "Electronic Era", or "Global Village". Following is a description of the aforesaid waves:

Physical assets were considered very important in the first wave, i.e., agricultural era; and they were a key criterion for having power in a society.

The second wave, i.e., industrial era, was commenced when industrial revolution happened in the Europe. In this period, the factories' owners or managers hire many people to work in their factories to produce goods and then, the owners/managers sell the products in the markets in order to gain profit for covering the expenses and paying the workers' wages and incentives.

\*Corresponding author: Mr. Taghi Vahidi, MBA Candidate, Payame Noor University, Damavand Campus, Damavand, Tehran, I.R. of IRAN, Phone Number: +98-915-133-8982, Fax Number: +98-561-221-4145, E-mail Address: taghivahidi@yahoo.com, Website: www.taghivahidi.com

In other words, product-oriented and market-oriented were the main focus of managers' attention in industrial era.

In the third wave, managers focused on concepts such as learning organizations and knowledge-based organizations and attempted to rely on human and intellectual capitals and also avoided considering only industrial-related affairs. In Information Age, humans found out that "knowledge is power" and tried to acquire knowledge by the human capitals, but gradually realized that knowledge by itself won't be a source of power and in fact, "knowledge sharing is power". After this time, the concept of "Knowledge Management" and then "Talent Management" entered the managerial literature.

Knowledge Management is to utilize knowledge inside and outside of an organization and transfer it among the employees to enable them to both perform their tasks and help the development of the organization. Strategic Knowledge Management is one stage upper than the knowledge management, because it bonds the strategy to knowledge management and if knowledge management is considered in organizational strategy, the result will be a learning organization which is a way toward competitive advantage.

Talent Management is a system for identifying, hiring, developing, and retaining talented people in order to optimize the organization's capability for attaining the desired results of business. Talent Management ensures that the eligible people with appropriate skills work in the right time and in the right positions to attain the business goals. The first step in success of talent management in an organization is to establish a bond between talent management and strategy. In other words, Talent Management Strategy must be epidemic in an organization to both enhance the individuals' abilities and bring economical profits for the organization.

Profit may be considered as the primary goal for a business so that focusing on intellectual capitals and intangible assets such as knowledge and talent will accelerate the trend of attaining Profitability both in short term and long term periods.

Deploying strategy via strategic management processes inside the organization and within the organizational levels together with having strategic thinking for encountering the future problems is now an essential issue for many organizations. Managers have realized that the establishment between strategy and organizational goals will lead to huge consequences and also will be an essence for sustainability in this global variable and uncertainty conditions. On the other hand, creating a bond between intangible assets such as knowledge and talent with organizational strategy will surely facilitate the achievement of organizational goals especially profitability as the ultimate objective of most national and international firms. Therefore, this study aims to provide a conceptual model considering the variables of Strategic Knowledge Management, Talent Management Strategy, and Profitability in order to offer a road map for the organizations which sooner or later will experience new and different challenges.

Furthermore, if the organizations neglect emphasizing on the aforesaid variables, their employees may continue working in different organizational islands and follow various goals instead of focusing on organizational mission, vision, and values. Attention must be paid that many employees of the Electric Distribution Companies including the Southern Khorasan Electric Distribution Company will be retired in the near future and the mentioned companies need to change their organizational structures and hire new employees. Therefore, it would be better for them to both hire the talented people and simultaneously transfer the intra-organizational and extra-organizational knowledge to the new comers. Thus, focusing on Strategic Knowledge Management and Talent Management Strategy will lead them toward Profitability as the main goal of most organizations in the world.

### Knowledge Management

IFAD (2007) address to an African proverb stating "Knowledge is the only treasure you can give entirely without running short of it" and then quotes from Amadou Hampâté Bâ that "In Africa, when an old man dies, it is a library that burns down". In addition, according to Osis and Grundspenkis (2011), nowadays we live in the information age and knowledge has been recognized as the most important asset both for organizations and for individual knowledge workers. On the other hand, according to Gottschalk (2005), knowledge can be considered the most important strategic resource and the ability to acquire, integrate, store, share, and apply, meanwhile, it may be taken into consideration as the most important capability for building and sustaining competitive advantage. Moreover, Nonaka et al. (2000) believe that in a world where markets, products, technologies, competitors, regulations and even societies change rapidly; continuous innovation and the knowledge that enables such innovation have become important sources of sustainable competitive advantage.

There are two types of knowledge: explicit knowledge and tacit knowledge. Explicit knowledge can be expressed in formal and systematic language and shared in the form of data, scientific formulas, specifications, manuals and such like. In contrast, tacit knowledge is highly personal and hard to formalize. Subjective insights, intuitions and hunches fall into this category of knowledge; meanwhile, tacit knowledge is deeply rooted in action, procedures, routines, commitment, ideals, values and emotions (Nonaka et al., 2001).

Organizations need to become skilled at converting personal, tacit knowledge into explicit knowledge that can push innovation and new product development. On the other hand, western organizations tend to concentrate on explicit knowledge, Japanese firms differentiate between tacit and explicit knowledge, and recognize that tacit knowledge is a source of competitive advantage (Choo, 1996). Moreover, Mândruleanu (2011) believes that Western firms often

set out to exchange explicit knowledge, whereas Eastern firms are often more interested in tacit knowledge. He also addresses that "the more embodied the knowledge, the more difficult is to acquire them, but at the same time, the greater the extent that the knowledge is valuable". Based upon Gubbins et al. (2011), 90 percent of knowledge in any organisation is embedded in people's heads. They believe that the employees are the fundamental repositories of tacit knowledge and are the key players in knowledge management initiatives. In addition, according to Abdul Manaf et al. (2011), tacit knowledge is believed to be a significant factor distinguishing successful managers from others. They add that the ability of an organization to effectively share managerial tacit knowledge is one of the key sources of competitive advantage for many of today's organizations.

To understand the true nature of knowledge and knowledge creation, we need to recognize that tacit and explicit knowledge are complementary, and that both types of knowledge are essential to knowledge creation. Explicit knowledge without tacit insight quickly loses its meaning. Written speech is possible only after internal speech is well developed. Knowledge is created through interactions between tacit and explicit knowledge, rather than from tacit or explicit knowledge alone (Nonaka et al., 2000).

Knowledge Management is about capturing, creating, distilling, sharing and using know-how. That know-how includes explicit and tacit knowledge. It is not about books of wisdom and best practices, it's more about the communities that keep know-how of a topic alive by sharing what they know, building on it and adapting it to their own use. Call it 'performance through learning', 'shared knowledge', or simply 'working smarter' (IFAD, 2007 quoted from C. Collison & G. Parcell).

According to Dannemann (2011), the objective of Knowledge Management is to facilitate and encourage an exchange of experiences mainly connected to activities which involve people, process and technology. Beig et al. (2011) declare a number of steps for implementing knowledge management. According to their opinion, these steps usually lead to significant changes in organizations especially in three main aspects including infrastructure, organizational processes and the organizational culture.

University of Texas defines Knowledge Management as the systematic process of finding, selecting, organizing, distilling and presenting information in a way that improves an employee's comprehension in a specific area of interest. Knowledge management makes an organization gain insight and understanding from its own experience. Specific knowledge management activities help the organization focus on acquiring, storing and utilizing knowledge for problem solving, dynamic learning, strategic planning and decision making. It also protects intellectual assets from decay, adds to firm intelligence and provides increased flexibility (SalehiSadaghiyani & Tavallae, 2011).

## Talent Management

Maxwell and MacLean (2008) address the talent

management as an important topic around the world and Cunningham (2007) believes that talent management is still a hot issue in many organizations. The concept of talent management was derived from World War II (Cappelli, 2008a) and its strategic importance was realized when McKinsey Consultants Group named the human resources as "War for Talent" in the late 1990's (Scullion and Collings, 2010). Also, Barron (2008) believes that the term talent management was first coined by McKinsey and Company in the mid-1990s (Barron, 2008). The mentioned consultants group utilized the term "War for Talent", because the talent shortages seemed to be the main problem of multinational corporations in the field of human resources (Makela et al., 2010). In addition, according to Huselid et al. (2005), the organizations which intended to maximize their productivity and effectiveness, focused on systematic approaches for attracting, selection, development and retention of talented key employees. Based upon the researches on talent management (Cappelli, 2008b; Lockwood, 2006; and Ford et al., 2010), four dimensions for talent management can be defined: Attracting, Assessing, Development and Training, and Retaining. In addition, according to Ahmadi et al. (2012), current organizations need creative, flexible, and accountable staff; meanwhile, recognizing, attracting, and maintaining the talented people in an organization is much harder than before.

Talented people are not defined by their age, gender, current work status, where they live or their cultural background. They are defined by their skills, ability, energy and the unique perspective they bring to an organisation. Releasing their unique talents is key to business performance (Veal & Séguret, 2008). In addition, Fardale et al. (2010) address the growing importance of the management of talent on a global scale. In addition, the term Talent Management has been recently established in the managerial issues and is related to a significant amount of organizational resources so that CEOs are greatly involved in the Talent Management Process (Whelan et al., 2010). Also, the increasing need for talented managers is a main challenge to both national and multinationals businesses (Iles, et al., 2010).

Talent Management is no longer reserved for the top levels in the hierarchies. Therefore, an organization can assess all of its employees in order to find Talents. Companies must hold on to, and develop their most valued employees since it is getting harder to find competent replacements. By finding and developing Talents, companies will improve their position in the market and perhaps even create a competitive advantage (Brandt & Kull, 2007).

By analyzing the literature relating to Talent Management (Cappelli, 2008b; Lockwood, 2006; and Ford et al., 2010), the four dimensions of Talent Management are: Attracting, Assessing, Developing and Training, and Retaining.

## Strategic Knowledge Management

Strategic Knowledge Management is one step higher

than knowledge management, because it bounds strategy to knowledge management. According to Akbari et al. (2012), by passing through industry age toward knowledge age, strategic knowledge management is considered by organizations dramatically and by considering this new competitive edge, universities should prepare an appropriate background for strategic knowledge management. Also, in a 2011 study by Kianto et al., a number of KM (Knowledge Management) practices have been addressed that include strategic management of knowledge, organizational culture, HRM (Human Resource Management) practices, organizational structure and ICT tools that are aimed to support efficient usage of knowledge in organizations. In addition, by executing the systematic approach in strategic management, organization can ensure that Knowledge Management is an effective strategy of getting an exact knowledge to the right people at the right time (Ahmad and Mohamed Idris, 2008).

Hansen et al. (1999) classify KM strategies into one of two categories: the codification strategy, in which knowledge is codified and stored in repositories that are easily accessed, or the personalization strategy, in which knowledge is closely tied to its creator and is shared mainly through person-to-person contacts. Also, Akbari et al. (2012) defines two components for Strategic Knowledge Management which are: encoding strategy and personalizing strategy. Moreover, according to Lee and Ahn (2007), under the codification strategy, individual knowledge is stored explicitly in the repository. Therefore, in our opinion, focusing on codification will probably lead to profitability for many organizations.

### Talent Management Strategy

According to Barron (2008), organizations involved in talent management are strategic and deliberate in how they source, attract, select, train, develop, promote, and move employees through the organization. In addition, based upon DWP (2010), the Talent Management Strategy considers internal development programmes and the wider sourcing and provision of talent to meet the organisation's future needs. Moreover, according to a 2011 study by Head Light Company, organisations believe that it is important to have an effective Talent Management strategy with clear and tangible benefits for the bottom line. This study believes that Talent Management Strategy will set out and define what the organisation needs to do for and with its people that will enable the business to achieve its goals, to thrive, grow and increase its profitability.

Talent management strategies focus on five primary areas: attracting, selecting, engaging, developing, and retaining employees (Lockwood, 2006).

Cunningham (2007) believes in two main strategic choices available when considering talent management. These can be summarized as:

1. Aligning people with roles (APR). Here the assumption is that there are agreed roles and the aim is to align people with these.

2. Aligning roles with people (ARP). This strategic arena is based on taking the people as fixed and adjusting factors in the context of the organization.

Cunningham argues that there is a systemic interaction between the aforesaid factors so that it would be impossible to solve talent issues by just focusing on one dimension.

### Profitability

Nimalathan (2009) believes that profit is the primary objective of a business. On the other hand, Bârsan et al. (2011) believe that intellectual capital is a key factor in company's profitability meanwhile; knowledge assets provide a sustainable competitive advantage for an organization. According to Nishanthini and Nimalathan (2013), an enterprise should earn profits to survive and grow over a long period of time; meanwhile it provides evidence concerning the earnings potential of a company and how effectively a firm is being managed. They believe that if the enterprise fails to make profit, capital invested is eroded and if this situation prolongs the enterprise ultimately ceases to exist.

Strack et al. (2012) have listed the following 22 key HR topics and concluded that the companies are highly capable in the aforesaid topics consistently enjoy better economics performance (revenue growth and profit margin) than those less capable:

1. Delivering on recruiting
2. On-boarding of new hires and retention
3. Managing talent
4. Improving employer branding
5. Performance management and rewards
6. Developing leadership
7. Mastering HR processes
8. Global people management and international expansion
9. Enhancing employee engagement
10. Providing shared services and outsourcing HR
11. Managing diversity and inclusion
12. Managing change and cultural transformation
13. Actively using web 2.0 for HR and managing associated risks
14. Strategic workforce planning
15. Delivering critical learning programs
16. Managing corporate social responsibility
17. Transforming HR into a strategic partner
18. Health and security management
19. Managing flexibility and labor costs
20. Restructuring the organization
21. Managing work-life balance
22. Managing an aging workforce

A glance on the study of Strack et al. (2012) reveals that the HR topics including the components of Talent Management and Knowledge Management have positive impact on Profitability.

**Conceptual Model of the research**

The dimensions of "Codification" and "Personalization" have been considered for Strategic Knowledge Management based upon a research by Carolina López-Nicolás and Ángel L. Meroño-Cerdán (2011) which has been utilized by Akbari et al. (2012). For Talent Management Strategy, the following dimensions have been used based on a study by Maali-Tafti (2010):


1. Intra-Organizational Concept including the components of Standardization, Strategy,

Development of Organization, Opinion, Infrastructures & Processes, and Managerial Factors.

2. Extra-Organizational Concept including the Political Factors

On the other hand, Profitability dimensions based upon the experts' viewpoints at the Southern Khorasan Electric Distribution Company (SKEDC) are: Liquidity Management and Financial Resources, Cost Management, Warehouse Management, Managing the Prediction of Profits, and Asset Management.

Considering the above-mentioned dimensions, the conceptual model of the research has been prepared as follows:



**METHODOLOGY**

The proposed methodology for testing the conceptual model will be using questionnaire and the number of statistical population will be selected based on Morgan Table. Also the questionnaire will be distributed among the considered employees at the Southern Khorasan Electric Distribution Company (SKEDC).

**RESULTS**

Although the results of the study will be specified after testing the conceptual model, but it will be predictable that the relation between Strategic Knowledge Management and Talent Management Strategy with Profitability must be rationally significant and positive.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

In this study, we first define the concepts of Knowledge Management, Talent Management, Strategic Knowledge Management, Talent Management Strategy, and Profitability; and then, we present the conceptual model of the research for our further studies. It should be noted that defining hypotheses as

well as preparing the related questionnaires for the above-mentioned variables and also examining the hypotheses at the Southern Khorasan Electric Distribution Company (SKEDC) will be another step for our further researches. Moreover, according to our previous studies together our work experiences in various industries; we can predict that there will be a significant impact between two independent variables of "Strategic Knowledge Management" and "Talent Management Strategy" and the dependent variable of "Profitability". The reason for such a conclusion is that the concepts of strategy, knowledge and talent can be considered as intangible capitals which are able to direct the companies toward the competitive advantage and will lead to profitability as the main goal of each business enterprise.

The research of Wiig in 1997 supports the positive impact of Knowledge Management (KM) on Profitability. He believes that by actively pursuing comprehensive KM to excel in the three value disciplines (operational excellence, product leadership, and customer intimacy), enterprises will obtain the competitive advantages that will ensure their viability and profitability. In addition, according to Choi and Lee (2002), managing knowledge is important because knowledge is one of

the most strategic weapons that can lead to sustained increase in profits. Also, based on a 2010 study by Omerzel, firms with the efficiently implemented knowledge management are more likely to have higher growth and profitability than organizations which are lacking such characteristics.

Brandt and Kull (2007) quotes from Schweyer (2004) and Collins (2001) that good companies emphasize on intangible assets such as brand names, innovation, creativity, and entrepreneurship but great companies try to employ the right people in the right positions and in fact these companies can take steps forward towards achieving beyond their competitors. It is also a support for the significant relation of Talent Management and Profitability.

Another support for the relation between Knowledge Management and Profitability is the study by IFAD (2007). This study believes that: "To increase the focus of knowledge management and align administrative, management, financial and operational processes, six major complementary functions will need to be integrated: (i) knowledge management; (ii) innovation; (iii) strategic partnership management; (iv) thematic and regional network management; (v) communication; and (vi) the grant process for knowledge management including knowledge generation, learning and knowledge-sharing, and innovation. Integrating these functions will ensure higher organizational consistency and will result in reduced transaction costs, greater efficiency and better institutional effectiveness".

By analyzing the sample from financial and nonfinancial perspectives, Bethke-Langenegger et al. (2010) identified higher corporate profit and also increased corporate attractiveness as statistically highly significant main effects of pursuing a talent management strategy. In their opinion, organisations that apply talent management practices demonstrate significantly higher financial performance compared to their industry's peers. On the other hand, based upon a 2012 research by Kehinde, talent management, profitability and return on investment (ROI) are highly correlated.

## REFERENCES

- Abdul MH, Armstrong S, Lawton A (2011). Knowledge Sharing Practices, Managerial Tacit Knowledge, and Individual Performance: Their Interrelationships and the Moderating Role of Employee Personality. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany
- Ahmad AR, Mohamed IMT (2008). Managing knowledge management through strategic management perspectives. International Business Information Management Association. pp 71-77
- Ahmadi, S AA, Sarlak, MA, Mahdavi M, Daraei MR, Vahidi T (2012). The role of Social Capital on improving Talent Management at the Southern Khorasan Electric Energy Distribution Company (SKEDC). International Business Management. 6(2): 233-241.
- Akbari P, Eslampanah M, Baharestan O, Mohammadi S (2012). The study of relationship between organizational structure and strategic knowledge management in Islamic Azad University, Kermanshah Branch. Management Science Letters 2 (2012) 1921–1930.
- Barron P (2008). Education and talent management: implications for the hospitality industry. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management. Emerald Group. 20(7): 730-742.
- Bãrsan SC, Sima MG, Sãvescu D (2011). Knowledge Production and Transfer: Advantages and Costs. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany.
- Beig L, Mirian M, Mir S, Ghazi T, Kharrat M (2011). A Framework for the Assessment of KM Readiness of an Organization While Transferring into a Learning Organization. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany.
- Bethke-Langenegger P, Mahler P, Staffelbach B (2010). Effectiveness of Talent Management Strategies in Swiss Companies. Universität Zürich. Diskussionspapier Nr. 16.
- Brandt E, Kull P (2007). Talent Management: How firms in Sweden find and nurture value adding human resources. Internationella Handelshogskolan.
- Cappelli, P. (2008a). Talent management for the twenty-first century. Harvard Business Review. March. 74-81 (cited by: Bano, S, Aslam Khan, M, Ur Rehman, Q H, Humayoun, A A. 2010. Schematizing Talent Management, a core business issue: Study of the factors those impacts on talent management in the corporate sector of Islamabad, Pakistan. Far East J. Psychol. Bus., 1(2): 1
- Cappelli, P. (2008b). Talent on Demand, Harvard Business School Publishing. Boston. MA.
- Carolina, L-N, Ángel, M-C. (2011). Strategic knowledge management, innovation and performance. Int. J. Info. Manag. 31. 502–509.
- Choi B, Lee H (2002). Knowledge management strategy and its link to knowledge creation process. Expert Systems with Applications. 23: 173–187.
- Choo, C W. (1996). The knowing organization: How organization use information for construct meaning, create knowledge and make decision. Int. J. Info. Manag., 16(5): 329-340.
- Collins J (2001). Good to great: why some companies make the leap- and others don't. London: Random House Business (cited by: Brandt, E, Kull, P. 2007. Talent Management: How firms in Sweden find and nurture value adding human resources. Internationella Handelshogskolan).
- Cunningham I (2007). Talent management: making it real. Emerald Group.
- Dannemann S (2011). Can Knowledge Management Survive Without Information Technologies?. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany.

- Department for Work and Pensions (2010). Talent Management Strategy – Equality Impact Assessment.
- Farndale E, Scullion H, Sparrow P (2010). The role of the corporate HR function in global talent management. *J. World Bus.*, 45. 161–168 (cited by: Bano, S, Aslam Khan, M, Ur Rehman, Q H, Humayoun, A A. 2010. Schematizing Talent Management, a core business issue: Study of the factors those impacts on talent management in the corporate sector of Islamabad. Pakistan. *Far East J. Psychol. Bus.* 2:1
- Ford J, Harding N, Stoyanova D. (2010). Talent management and Development, central for Managerial Excellence. Bradford University.
- Gottschalk P (2005). Strategic Knowledge Management Technology. Norwegian School of Management, Norway. Idea Group Publishing (IGP). <http://www.idea-group.com>
- Gubbins C, Kelly G, Dooley L, Emery C, Murphy E (2011). Individual Level Influencers on Tacit Knowledge Sharing Processes. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany.
- Hansen MT, Nohria N, Tierney T (1999). What's your strategy for managing knowledge?. *Harvard Business Review*. 77 (2). 106–116 (cited by: Lee, D J, Ahn, J H. 2007. Reward systems for intra-organizational knowledge sharing. *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 180:938–956).
- Head Light Ltd. (2011). Developing your Talent Management strategy. White Paper Part One. V1.3.
- Huselid, M A, Beatty, R W, Becker, B E. (2005). A Player or A Positions? The strategic logic of workforce management. *Harvard Business Review*. December. 110 117.
- IFAD (Enabling poor rural people to overcome poverty). (2007). Knowledge Management Strategy, ViadelSerafico. 107. 00142 Rome. Italy. [www.ifad.org](http://www.ifad.org), [www.ruralpovertyportal.org](http://www.ruralpovertyportal.org)
- Iles P, Chuai X, Preece D (2010). Talent Management and HRM in Multinational companies in Beijing: Definitions, differences and drivers. *J. World Bus.*, 45 (2): 179-189.
- Kehinde JS (2012). Talent Management: Effect on Organizational Performance. *Journal of Management Research*. 4: 2.
- Kianto A, Andreeva T, Shi X (2011). Knowledge Management Across the Globe – An International Survey of KM Awareness, Spending, Practices and Performance. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany.
- Lee DJ, Ahn JH (2007). Reward systems for intra-organizational knowledge sharing. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 180: 938–956
- Lockwood NR (2006). Talent Management: Driver for Organizational Success. *SHRM Research Quarterly*
- Maali-Tafti M (2010). An analysis on effective factors of Talent Management as well as its challenges and obstacles of implementing it at the Saipa Company. MBA Dissertation (in Persian). University of Tehran
- Makela K, Bjorkman I, Ehumanresourcenrooth M (2010). How do MNCs establish their talent pools? Influences on individuals' likelihood of being labelled as talent. *J. World Bus.*, 45:134-142.
- Mândruleanu A (2011). Knowledge sharing in Romanian companies. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany.
- Maxwell GA, MacLean S. (2008). Talent management in hospitality and tourism in Scotland. *Emerald Group. Int. J. Contemporary Hosp. Manag.*, 20(7): 820- 830
- Nimalathasan B (2009). Profitability of Listed Pharmaceutical Companies in Bangladesh: An Inter& Intra Comparison of Ambee& IBN Sina Companies Ltd/Annals of University of Bucharest. *Economic and Administrative Series*. Nr. 3. 139-148.
- Nishanthini A, Nimalathasan B (2013). Determinants of profitability: A case study of listed manufacturing companies in Sri Lanka. *Merit Res. J. Art, Soc. Sci. Hum.* 1(1): 001-006.
- Nonaka I, Toyama R, Konno N (2000). SECI, Ba and Leadership: a Unified Model of Dynamic Knowledge Creation. *Long Range Planning (LRP)*. 33:5-34.
- Nonaka, I., Toyama, R, Konno, N. (2001). SECI, Ba and Leadership: a Unified Model of Dynamic Knowledge Creation in Managing Industrial Knowledge, Creation, Transfer and Utilization. SAGE Publications (cited by: Reinau, K H. 2007. Local Clusters in a Globalized World. DRUID Winter Conference 2007. Denmark).
- Omerzel DG (2010). The impact of knowledge management on SME growth and profitability: A structural equation modelling study. *Africa Journal of Business Manag.*, 4(16): 3417-3432.
- Osis K, Grundspenkis J (2011). A Different View to Knowledge and Personal Knowledge Management System. 12th European Conference on Knowledge Management. University of Passau. Germany.
- SalehiSadaghiyani J, Tavallaee R (2011). Review different knowledge strategy models and designing a new model. *International Conference on Innovation, Management and Service. IPEDR Vol. 14, IACSIT Press. Singapore.*
- Scullion H, Collings DG (2010). Global talent management. *J. World Bus.*, 45: 105-108.
- Schweyer A (2004). Talent Management Systems: best practises in technology solutions for recruitment, retention and workforce planning. Canada: Tri-Graphic Printing (cited by: Brandt E, Kull, P. 2007. Talent Management: How firms is Sweden find and nurture value adding human resources. Internationella Handelshogskolan).
- Rainer S, Jean-Michel C, Carsten von der L, Horacio Q, Pieter Haen (2012). From Capability to Profitability: Realizing the value of people management. The Boston Consulting Group (BCG)/World Federation of People Management Associations (WFPMA).
- Toffler A (1980). *The Third Wave*. New York. NY: Morrow.
- Veale S, Séguret, M (2008). Talent not tokenism, the business benefits of workforce diversity. *Equality and Human Rights Commission*. pp 1- 59.

Whelan E, Collings DG, Donnellan B (2010). Managing talent in knowledge-intensivesettings. *J. Knowledge Manag.*, 14:486-504.

Wiig KM (1997). Knowledge Management: Where did it come from and where will it go? *Expert Systems with Applications*. 13(I): 1-14.

Accepted 11 July, 2014.

**Citation:** Mohammad Reza Daraei and Taghi Vahidi (2014). Designing a Conceptual Model for analyzing the relation between Strategic Knowledge Management and Talent Management Strategy in Profitability of the

Southern Khorasan Electric Distribution Company (SKEDC). *Glo. J. Manag. Bus.*, 1(1): 014-020.



**Copyright:** © 2014 Daraei and Vahidi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are cited.